

### REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the outstanding office action is respectfully requested.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the telephonic interview with Examiner Lukton held on August 5, 2003. During the interview with Examiner Lukton, applicants discussed the amendments to claim 1 set forth above and the remarks below.

The rejection of claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Sejbal et al., "Functionalization of Lupane with Chromium(VI) Oxide. A Remark on the Structure of Clerodone," Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 61:1371-1379 (1996) ("Sejbal") is respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments. It is the position of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") that Sejbal discloses a compound identical to claim 2 and which falls within the scope of claim 1 for the case of "R" representing methyl. Accordingly, claim 2 has been canceled and claim 1 has been amended to exclude methyl as an "R" group.

In view of all of the foregoing, applicants submit that this case is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 17, 2003

Georgia Evans  
Georgia Evans  
Registration No. 44,597

NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051  
Rochester, New York 14603  
Telephone: (585) 263-1672  
Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

Certificate of Mailing - 37 CFR 1.8(a)	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below.	
Date <u>10/17/03</u>	<u>Peggy Dimmyer</u> Peggy Dimmyer